#### **Defense Contract Management Command**



Commander's Conference
Tysons Corner, VA
6 - 8 May 1997

"SHOW ME THE MONEY"



## The Money Trail

- Checkbook Issues
  - > Administration/Payment of Small \$ DLA Contracts
  - MOCAS Data Input Test
    - > Other Disbursing Office Actions
  - > Credit Card Payments

- Pocket Change
  - > DFAS Columbus Reorganization
  - > Progress Payments: IPT, DFARS Case, PreSigning
  - > CLIN/ACRN IPT
  - > Electronic Payment Initiative
  - Commercial Item Payment Policy



- DoD Financial Management Community Concerns (DoD Comptroller & DFAS)
- > 18 Dec 96 Letter From DFAS to DLA
  - > DCMC Administration of Low Value Contracts
  - > High Cost of Payment
  - > Prevalidation Constipation



#### **DCMC Admin Of Low Value Contracts**

- > Financial Management Community Concerns
  - ➤ Why 11,000 DCMC Contracts < \$2,500 at DFAS-CO?</p>
  - **>> Many items < \$100**
  - > Why Source Inspection
    - > Commercial Items
    - **>> Buying Offices on Auto Pilot?**

## High Cost of Payment

\$96.13 per Invoice paid in DFAS-CO vs
\$18.74 per Invoice paid in SAMMS

Approximate 100,000 DLA awards per yr < \$5000

Potential Savings to Switch > \$5M



#### Pre-Validation

- > FY97 DoD Appropriations Bill Conf. Rpt Requirement
  - Lowered Pre-Val Threshold to 0/for DFAS-CO payments
  - Pre-Val Threshold at \$1M for all other DFAS payments (SAMMS)

**>> 65% of DFAS-CO invoices < \$2,500** 

> Feb 97 Pre-Val Raised to \$2,500 for DFAS-CO



#### Payment Of Smaller Dollar DLA Contracts

#### > ISSUE

Moving DLA contracts <\$100K from payment in MOCAS to payment in SAMMS</p>

#### > ACTIONS

- Initiated Systems Change Request to permit MILSCAP of these contracts
- Changing Regulation to Permit Payment in SAMMS, Administration in MOCAS

 $\triangleright$ 

#### > STATUS

- **➤ Awaiting Systems Change expected June 1997**
- **>> Postured to start July 1, 1997**
- > Develop Roll out Plan

"Goodbye Columbus?"



 $\gg$ 

#### **MOCAS Data Input Test Background**

- DCMC input all ACO issued Delivery Order and Modifications
- ➤ DCMC requested to expand PCO direct input across DCMC
- DCMC/DFAS agreed to a 60 day test (February 3 March 31)
- ➤ 13 DCMC Contract Administration Offices participated in test (Proof of Principle)



#### MOCAS Data Input Test (Proof-of-Principle Results)

Timeless: 3-5 Days after contract receipt - acceptable Some backlog increased DFAS standard is 4 docs/hr/person

Accuracy: DFAS and DCMC both at 93-94% - Acceptable needs improvement - Provision Data Record



### Other Disbursing Office (ODOs)

- Issue DFAS Columbus is no longer inputting ODOs into MOCAS
  - **Due to workload and manpower constraints**
  - **➣Not reimbursed for ODOs**
- ➤ HQ drafting policy
  - **▶**Permit CAOs to input ODOs
  - **>> Backlog of 3000 (includes all)**
  - **>** "High Driver" CAOs Identified
  - **>Input Training Required**



### **Credit Card Payment**

- USD(FM) Request for DCMC to test paying contractors with Credit Card
- > Five CAOs to Participate in One Year Test
  - ➤ Dayton, New York, Manassas, Bell Helicopter, South Bend
  - >(5-7 Contracts)
- ➤ Issues: Merchants Fee (1 2 1/4%)
  - MOA Between DFAS + DCMC
- Benefits: Contractor is paid faster; customer charged less for payments
- **➣ Ms. Tina Ballard, DCMC Liaison to AFMC, Project Lender**



### **DFAS Columbus Reorganization**

- DFAS Columbus to reorganize this summer
  - > Will go from 11 to 3 payment offices
  - > 380,000 contracts impacted
- > FAR/DFARS Deviation
  - Modifications will be paperless
  - > DFAS to notify contractors and buying activities
  - > Painless approach preferred



# Simplified Progress Payments Working Group

- > Sponsor -- OSD (DDP)
- Charter Review the relationship between progress payments and other forms of contract financing
- Goal --Reduce the administrative burden on contractors and contracting officers
- ➤ Schedule
  - Publish advanced notice of proposed rulemaking May
  - **➤ Conduct Public Hearings June 1997**
- Recommendations from CAOs encouraged



# Progress Payment Allocation (DAR Case)

Background - Proposed by DDP As Result of DoD IG Audit of Titan IV Contract

Finding - Inequitable Allocation of Progress Payments to ACRNs (Potential to Use Appropriation for Other Than Intended Purpose)

Current DFAS- Payments Pro Rated Among Appropriation Based on Percentage of ACRN Effort

**Recommendation - Four Allocation Methods** 

- (A) Payments Based on Information Supplied IAW Contract Requirements, E.G., CFSR
- (B) Payments Distributed on a Proportionate Percentage Basis
- (C) Payments Using Oldest Funds First
- (D) Payments Based on Unique Payment Distribution Profile

Status: Proposed Rule Expected to Be Issued in May



#### **Progress Payment Presigning**

- Proposal Permit EDI of some presigned progress payments to go directly to MOCAS
- ➤ Testing
  - > System Change Request for SEPS
  - > Requires special ACO authorization
  - > Send 810 Transaction set to MOCAS
- **>>** Benefits
  - > Invisible to contractor No manual intervention
  - > No MOCAS change or additional documentation
- Criteria for Contractor
  - > Financially stable, satisfactory performance
  - > Maintain adequate management systems



#### **CLIN/ACRN IPT**

- > Sponsor: USD (FM) and DUSD (A&T)
- Charter Make recommendations to simplify contract structure
- ➤ Key Recommendations
  - Limits on creating ACRNs and CLINs
  - > Limit mixed-type contracts
  - Clarification to existing regulations and changes to MOCAS
- Schedule Service comments to sponsors on April 28, 1997



### **Electronic Payment Initiative**

- FY 98 Performance Plan Task 5.3 on EC/EDI has these goals:
  - ➤Increase the number of contractors using EDI submission of payment request by 100%
  - ➢Increase the number of payment request submitted via EDI by 50%
- ➤ All Payment Types
  - **>**Cost vouchers (BVNs)
  - **>>Progress payments**
  - **>> Commercial Invoices (DD250s)**

